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Motivation

Multivariate data are usually modelled via

- Multivariate Normal models
- Multinomial models (for categorical data)

What about multivariate count data?

- Small counts with a lot of zeros
- Normal approximation may not be adequate at all

Idea: Use multivariate Poisson models

Attractive idea but the models are computationally demanding.
Multivariate Count data

- Different type of crimes in different areas
- Purchases of different products
- Accidents (different types or in different time periods)
- Football data
- Different types of faults in production systems
- Number of faults in parts of a large system etc
Bivariate Poisson model

Let $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta_i), i = 0, 1, 2$

Consider the random variables

\[
\begin{align*}
X &= X_1 + X_0 \\
Y &= X_2 + X_0
\end{align*}
\]

$(X, Y) \sim BP(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_0), \quad (X, Y) \sim BP(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_0),$

Joint probability function given:

\[
P(X = x, Y = y) = e^{-(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_0)} \frac{\theta_1^x}{x!} \frac{\theta_2^y}{y!} \sum_{i=0}^{\min(x,y)} \binom{x}{i} \binom{y}{i} i! \left( \frac{\theta_0}{\theta_1 \theta_2} \right)^i.
\]
Properties of Bivariate Poisson model

• Marginal distributions are Poisson, i.e.

$$X \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta_1 + \theta_0)$$

$$Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta_2 + \theta_0)$$

• Conditional Distributions: Convolution of a Poisson with a Binomial

• Covariance: $Cov(X, Y) = \theta_0$

For a full account see Kocherlakota and Kocherlakota (1992) and Johnson, Kotz and Balakrishnan (1997)
Bivariate Poisson model (more)

Limited use because of computational problems.

Recursive relationships:

\[
\begin{align*}
xP(x, y) &= \theta_1 P(x - 1, y) + \theta_0 P(x - 1, y - 1) \\
yP(x, y) &= \theta_2 P(x, y - 1) + \theta_0 P(x - 1, y - 1).
\end{align*}
\]

with the convention that \(P(x, y) = 0\), if \(s < 0\).

Need for ”clever” use of the relationships (See, e.g. Tsiamyrtzis and Karlis, 2002).
Figure 1
Bivariate Poisson model (estimation)

Various techniques:

• Moment method, Maximum likelihood, Even points etc (see, Kocherlakota and Kocherlakota, 1992).

• Recently: Bayesian estimation (Tsionas, 1999).
Bivariate Poisson regression model

\[(X_i, Y_i) \sim BP(\theta_{1i}, \theta_{2i}, \theta_{0i})\]

\[\log(\theta_{ji}) = x_i'\beta_j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2\]

- Allows for covariate-dependent covariance.
- Separate modelling of means and covariance
- Standard estimation methods not easy to apply.
- Computationally demanding.
- Application of an easily programmable EM algorithm
Application of Bivariate Poisson regression model

Champions league data of season 2000/01

The model

\[(X, Y)_i \sim BP(\lambda_{1i}, \lambda_{2i}, \lambda_{0i})\]

\[\log(\lambda_{1i}) = \mu + home + att_{hi} + def_{gi}\]

\[\log(\lambda_{2i}) = \mu + att_{gi} + def_{hi}.\]

Use of sum-to-zero or corner constraints

Interpretation

- the overall constant parameter specifies \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\) when two teams of the same strength play on a neutral field.

- Offensive and defensive parameters are expressed as departures from a team of average offensive or defensive ability.
Application of Bivariate Poisson regression model (2)

Modelling the covariance term

$$\log(\lambda_{0i}) = \beta^{con} + \gamma_1 \beta^{home}_{hi} + \gamma_2 \beta^{away}_{gi}$$

$\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are dummy binary indicators taking values zero or one depending on the model we consider. Hence when $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$ we consider constant covariance, when $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (1, 0)$ we assume that the covariance depends on the home team only etc.
Results(1)

Table 1: Details of Fitted Models for Champions League 2000/01 Data
($^1H_0 : \lambda_0 = 0$ and $^2H_0 : \lambda_0 = constant$, B.P. stands for the Bivariate Poisson).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Distribution</th>
<th>Model Details</th>
<th>Log-Lik</th>
<th>Param.</th>
<th>p.value</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Poisson</td>
<td></td>
<td>-432.65</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>996.4</td>
<td>1185.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Biv. Poisson</td>
<td>$\lambda_0$</td>
<td>-430.59</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.042$^1$</td>
<td>994.3</td>
<td>1186.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Biv. Poisson</td>
<td>constant</td>
<td>-414.71</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.438$^2$</td>
<td>1024.5</td>
<td>1311.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Biv. Poisson</td>
<td>Home Team</td>
<td>-416.92</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.655$^2$</td>
<td>1029.0</td>
<td>1316.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Biv. Poisson</td>
<td>Away Team</td>
<td>-393.85</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>0.151$^2$</td>
<td>1034.8</td>
<td>1428.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Results(2)

#### Table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Home</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10(17.3)</td>
<td>11(10.5)</td>
<td>5(4.2)</td>
<td>3(1.4)</td>
<td>0(0.4)</td>
<td>1(0.1)</td>
<td>30(33.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20(17.9)</td>
<td>17(14.8)</td>
<td>2(6.8)</td>
<td>3(2.5)</td>
<td>1(0.8)</td>
<td>0(0.2)</td>
<td>43(43.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14(12.8)</td>
<td>13(11.9)</td>
<td>6(6.1)</td>
<td>2(2.4)</td>
<td>0(0.8)</td>
<td>0(0.2)</td>
<td>35(34.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10(7.6)</td>
<td>8(7.6)</td>
<td>8(4.1)</td>
<td>2(1.7)</td>
<td>0(0.6)</td>
<td>0(0.2)</td>
<td>28(21.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3(4.1)</td>
<td>4(4.2)</td>
<td>3(2.4)</td>
<td>1(1.0)</td>
<td>1(0.4)</td>
<td>0(0.1)</td>
<td>12(12.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3(2.0)</td>
<td>2(2.2)</td>
<td>0(1.3)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>0(0.2)</td>
<td>0(0.1)</td>
<td>6(6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1(1.0)</td>
<td>1(1.1)</td>
<td>0(0.6)</td>
<td>0(0.3)</td>
<td>0(0.1)</td>
<td>0(0.0)</td>
<td>2(3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0(0.4)</td>
<td>0(0.5)</td>
<td>1(0.3)</td>
<td>0(0.1)</td>
<td>0(0.0)</td>
<td>0(0.0)</td>
<td>1(1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>61(63.1)</td>
<td>56(52.8)</td>
<td>25(25.8)</td>
<td>12(9.9)</td>
<td>2(3.3)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>157(155.8)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Estimated Parameters for 2000/01 Champions League Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team</th>
<th>Poisson Att</th>
<th>Poisson Def</th>
<th>Bivariate Poisson Att</th>
<th>Bivariate Poisson Def</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Anderlecht</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Arsenal</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 B.Munich</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Barcelona</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Besiktas</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Deportivo</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Dynamo Kyiv</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Galatasaray</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Hamburger SV</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Heerenveen</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Helsingborg</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Juventus</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Lazio</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Leeds</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Leverkusen</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Lyon</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Man.UND</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Milan</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Monaco</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Olympiakos</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 PSG</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 PSV Eindhoven</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Panathinaikos</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Rangers</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Real M.</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Rosenborg</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Shakhtar</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Sparta</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Spartak</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Sporting</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Sporting</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Valencia</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Parameters

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_3$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Generalizing the model

Let $Y_i \sim Poisson(\theta_i), i = 0, 1, \ldots, m$

Consider the random variables

\[
\begin{align*}
X_1 &= Y_1 + Y_0 \\
X_2 &= Y_2 + Y_0 \\
\vdots \\
X_m &= Y_m + Y_0
\end{align*}
\]

Then $(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ jointly follow a multivariate Poisson distribution
Properties

- The joint probability function is given by

\[
P(X) = P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \ldots, X_m = x_m) = \exp\left(- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_i \right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\theta_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} \sum_{i=0}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \binom{x_j}{i} i! \left( \frac{\theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^{m} \theta_i}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \theta_i} \right)^i.
\]

where \( s = \min(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \).

- Marginally each \( X_i \) follows a Poisson distribution with parameter \( \theta_0 + \theta_i \).

- Parameter \( \theta_0 \) is the covariance between all the pairs of random variables.

- If \( \theta_0 = 0 \) then the variables are independent.
Problems

• Probability function too complicated for
  – even the calculation of the function (remedy: use of recurrence relationships)
  – for estimation purposes (remedy: use of an EM algorithm)

• Assumes common covariance for all pairs - unrealistic
The EM algorithm


- Numerical method for finding ML estimates that offers a nice statistical perspective
- Missing data representation
- Can help in a variety of problems that can be presented as missing data problems
The EM mechanics

Let $\phi$ the vector of parameters of interest

Complete data $Y_i = (X_i, Z_i)$

- Observed part $X_i$
- Unobserved part $Z_i$

The steps are:

- **E-step**
  
  \[ Q(\phi \mid \phi_{(k)}) = E(\log p(Y \mid \phi) \mid X, \phi_{(k)}) \]

  (the expectation is taken with respect to the conditional distribution $f(Y \mid X, \phi_{(k)})$ and

- **M-step** Maximize $Q(\phi \mid \phi_{(k)})$

  $\phi_{(k)}$ represents the vector of parameters after the $k$-th iteration
The EM in simple words

- **E-step**
  Estimate the missing part of the data using the data and the current values of the parameters and

- **M-step** Maximize the likelihood of the complete data using instead of the unobserved values their expectations from the E-step
Pros and Cons

Pros

• Easily programmable
• Estimates in the admissible range
• Byproducts of the algorithm have interesting statistical interpretation

Cons

• Slow Convergence
• Dependence on the initial values
The EM for the Multivariate Poisson

- Observed data: the vectors $X_i$
- Unobserved Data: the vectors $Y_i$

Note: Since we have $m$ $X_i$'s and $m + 1$ $Y_i$'s, in fact if we find one of the $Y_i$ we get the rest easily
The EM for the Multivariate Poisson, (Karlis, 2002)

**E-step:** Using the data and the current estimates after the $k-th$ iteration $\theta^{(k)}$ calculate the pseudo-values

$$s_i = E(Y_{0i} \mid X_i, t_i, \theta^{(k)}) = \theta_0 t_i P(X_1 = x_{1i} - 1, X_2 = x_{2i} - 1, \ldots, X_m = x_{mi} - 1) / P(X_i)$$

**M-step:** Update the estimates by

$$\theta_0^{(k+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i}, \quad \theta_i^{(k+1)} = \bar{x}_i / \bar{t} - \theta_0^{(k+1)} \quad i = 1, \ldots, m$$

If some convergence criterion is satisfied stop iterating otherwise go back to the *E-step* for one more iteration.
Interesting things

- Need for quick calculation of the probabilities
- It can be seen that for this model the EM algorithm is similar to the Newton-Raphson method
- Conditional distribution of $Y_{0i}$

$$f(Y_{0i} = y \mid x_i, \theta^{(k)}) = P(y) = \frac{\frac{\theta_0^y}{y!} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\theta_j^{-y}}{(x_{ji} - y)!}}{\sum_{y=0}^{s} \frac{\theta_0^y}{y!} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\theta_j^{-y}}{(x_{ji} - y)!}},$$

$y = 0, \ldots, \min(x_i)$
## An example-Accident Data

Accidents in 24 roads of Athens for the period 1987-1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akadimias</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandras</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amfitheas</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peiraios</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigrou</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated parameters:

\[
\hat{\theta}_1 = 4.902 \quad \hat{\theta}_2 = 8.731 \quad \hat{\theta}_3 = 11.795
\]

Parameters:

\[
\hat{\theta}_4 = 10.147 \quad \hat{\theta}_5 = 2.517 \quad \hat{\theta}_0 = 3.753
\]
Restrictions

Important notes

• The above model is restrictive as it assumes the same covariance for all the pairs

• Almost all the applications of multivariate Poisson models imply this model.

• This model cannot generalize the idea of multivariate normal models
Extending the model

Let $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_m)$ and $Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Then the general definition of multivariate Poisson models is made through the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ of dimensions $k \times m$, where the elements of the matrix are zero and ones and no duplicate columns exist.

Then the vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k)$ defined as

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}$$

follows a multivariate Poisson distribution.
Complete Specification

\[ A = [A_1 \ A_2 \ \ldots \ A_k] \]

where \( A_i \) is a matrix of dimensions \( k \times \binom{k}{i} \) where each column has exactly \( i \) ones and \( k - i \) zeroes.

Example \( k = 3 \)

\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \quad A_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
and then

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

This correspond to

\[
\begin{align*}
X_1 &= Y_1 + Y_{12} + Y_{13} + Y_{123} \\
X_2 &= Y_2 + Y_{12} + Y_{23} + Y_{123} \\
X_3 &= Y_3 + Y_{13} + Y_{23} + Y_{123} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where all \( Y_i \)'s, are independently Poisson distributed random variables with parameter \( \theta_i \), \( i \in (\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{12\}, \{13\}, \{23\}, \{123\}) \)

Note: Parameters \( \theta_{ij} \) are in fact covariance parameters between \( X_i \) and \( X_j \). Similarly \( \theta_{123} \) is a common 3-way covariance parameter.
Other cases

Independent Poisson variables

Corresponds to the case $A = A_1$.

Example for $k = 3$

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

i.e. product of independent Poisson probability functions.
Full covariance structure

If we want to specify only up to 2-way covariances we take the form

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} \]

Example \( k = 3 \)

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

This model is very interesting as it assumes different covariances between all the pairs and thus it resembles the multivariate normal model.
This correspond to

\[
X_1 = Y_1 + Y_{12} + Y_{13} \\
X_2 = Y_2 + Y_{12} + Y_{23} \\
X_3 = Y_3 + Y_{13} + Y_{23}
\]

where all \( Y_i \)'s, are independently Poisson distributed random variables with parameter \( \theta_i, i \in (\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{12\}, \{13\}, \{23\}) \)

the covariance matrix of \((X_1, X_2, X_3)\) is now

\[
Var(X) = \begin{bmatrix}
\theta_1 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{13} & \theta_{12} & \theta_{12} \\
\theta_{12} & \theta_2 + \theta_{12} + \theta_{23} & \theta_{23} \\
\theta_{13} & \theta_{23} & \theta_3 + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Properties

For the general model we have

\[ E(X) = AM \]

and

\[ Var(X) = A\Sigma A^T \]

where \( M \) and \( \Sigma \) are the mean vector and the variance covariance matrix for the variables \( Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_k \) respectively. \( \Sigma \) is diagonal because of the independence of \( Y_i \)'s and has the form

\[ \Sigma = \text{diag}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_m) \]

Similarly

\[ M^T = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_m) \]
Covariance Model

We concentrate on the 2-way full covariance model. A model with $m$ variables has

$$m + \binom{m}{2}$$

parameters.

**Bad news:** The joint probability function has at least $m$ summations!

**Good news:** One may use recurrence relationships (clearly need to find efficient algorithms to do so)
Example: a 3-variate full model

For example, consider again the case of the full trivariate Poisson model.

\[ P(X = x) = \sum_{(y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{23}) \in C} \frac{\exp \left( - \sum \theta_i \right) \theta_1^{x_1 - y_{12} - y_{13}} \theta_2^{x_2 - y_{12} - y_{23}} \theta_3^{x_3 - y_{13} - y_{23}} \theta_{12}^{y_{12}} \theta_{13}^{y_{13}} \theta_{23}^{y_{23}}}{(x_1 - y_{12} - y_{13})!(x_2 - y_{12} - y_{23})!(x_3 - y_{13} - y_{23})! y_{12}! y_{13}! y_{23}!} , \]

where the summation is over the set \( C \subset \mathbb{N}^3 \) defined as

\[ C = \{(y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{23}) \in \mathbb{N}^3 : \{y_{12} + y_{13} \leq x_1\} \cup \{y_{12} + y_{23} \leq x_2\} \cup \{y_{13} + y_{23} \leq x_3\} \neq \emptyset\}. \]
Multivariate Poisson regression model with covariance structure

Let the vector $x_i = (x_{1i}, x_{2i}, ..., x_{mi}), \ i = 1, ..., n$, denotes the $i$-th available $m$-variate observation. Let $S = R_1 \cup R_2$, where $R_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ and $R_2 = \{ij, \ i, j = 1, \ldots, m, \ i < j\}$. The sets $R_1$ and $R_2$ contain the subscripts needed for the definition of the unobserved variables $Y_i$ and the corresponding parameters $\theta_i, i \in S$. The model takes the form

$$X_i \sim m - Po(\theta t_i), \ i = 1, ..., n,$$

$$\ln \theta_{ji} = z_i' \beta_j, \ i = 1, ..., n$$

- $t_i$ is an offset such as a population or an area and
- $\theta$ is the vector of all the parameters, i.e. $\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m, \theta_{12}, \ldots, \theta_{(m-1),m})$.
- $\beta_j$ is the vector of regression coefficients for the $j$-th parameter and
- $z_i'$ is a vector of regressors not necessarily the same for all parameters.
Example

Consider 3 variates $X_1, X_2, X_3$ that represent the purchase of 3 products. Jointly the $y$ follow a 3-variate Poisson distribution with up yo 2-way covariance. Let $z$ be another variable let say the sex. The model has the form

$$(X_1, X_2, X_3)_i \sim 3 - Poisson(\theta_{1i}, \theta_{2i}, \theta_{3i}, \theta_{12i}, \theta_{13i}, \theta_{23i})$$

$$\log(\theta_{1i}) = a_1 + \beta_1 z_i$$
$$\log(\theta_{2i}) = a_2 + \beta_2 z_i$$
$$\log(\theta_{3i}) = a_3 + \beta_3 z_i$$
$$\log(\theta_{12i}) = a_4 + \beta_4 z_i$$
$$\log(\theta_{13i}) = a_5 + \beta_5 z_i$$
$$\log(\theta_{23i}) = a_6 + \beta_6 z_i$$

Perhaps one may use regressors only for the mean parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$. 
Estimation- ML estimation via EM algorithm

Denote as $\Theta^{(j)} = \beta_1^{(j-1)}, \beta_2^{(j-1)}, \ldots, \beta_r^{(j-1)}$, $r = 1, \ldots, k$ the vector of all the parameters after the $j$-th iteration.

- **E-Step:** Using the observed data and the current estimates after $j - 1$ iterations $\Theta^{(j-1)}$, calculate the pseudo values

$$s_{ir} = E(Y_{ir} \mid X_i, t_i, \Theta^{(j-1)})$$

$$= \sum_{y \in g^{-1}(x_i)} \frac{y_{ir} \prod_{r=1}^{k} Po(y_i \mid \theta_{ir}^{(j-1)} t_i)}{P(x_i \mid \theta_{ir}^{(j-1)}, t_i)}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, r \in S$$

where $\theta_{ir}^{(j-1)} = \exp(z_{ir}' \beta_r^{(j-1)})$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $r = 1, \ldots, k$.

- **M-Step:** Update the vector $\beta_r$ by fitting a Poisson regression on $s_{ir}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and explanatory variables $z_{ir}$.

- If some convergence criterion is satisfied stop iterating,
Estimation- Bayesian estimation via MCMC algorithm
(Karlis and Meligotsidou, 2002)

Closed form Bayesian estimation is impossible

Need to use MCMC methods

Implementation details

• Use the same data augmentation

• Jeffrey priors for regression coefficients

• The posterior distributions of $\beta_r$, $r = 1, \ldots, k$ are non-standard and, hence, Metropolis-Hastings steps are needed within the Gibbs sampler,
Application- Crime data

4 different types of crime (rapes, arson, manslaughter, smuggling)

Regressors only for the mean parameters (not to impose imposing so much structure)

Regressors used (socio-economic characteristics):

- the natural logarithm of the population in millions
- the Gross Domestic Product per capita in Euros for each prefecture (GDP),
- the unemployment rate of the prefecture (unem)
- a dummy variable to show whether the prefecture is at the borders of the country (borders)
- a dummy variable to show whether the prefecture has at least one city with population larger than 150 thousands habitants (city).
### Results - EM algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta_{12}$</th>
<th>$\theta_{13}$</th>
<th>$\theta_{14}$</th>
<th>$\theta_{23}$</th>
<th>$\theta_{24}$</th>
<th>$\theta_{34}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.8077</td>
<td>4.6115</td>
<td>1.0780</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SE</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0889</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.2077</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0898</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.1431</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0892</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>rapes</th>
<th></th>
<th>arsons</th>
<th></th>
<th>manslaughter</th>
<th></th>
<th>smuggling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
<td>0.7416</td>
<td>S.E</td>
<td>2.5155</td>
<td>S.E</td>
<td>3.2762</td>
<td>S.E</td>
<td>1.3909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop</td>
<td>-3.5702</td>
<td>0.4895</td>
<td>-3.9422</td>
<td>0.0559</td>
<td>-2.8082</td>
<td>0.2156</td>
<td>4.7223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>0.0632</td>
<td>0.0318</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0357</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
<td>0.0150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unem</td>
<td>-0.0173</td>
<td>0.0285</td>
<td>-0.0046</td>
<td>0.0249</td>
<td>-1.0521</td>
<td>0.0380</td>
<td>0.8009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>borders</td>
<td>0.1586</td>
<td>0.0469</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
<td>0.0353</td>
<td>0.0386</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>-0.0103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>city</td>
<td>-0.1902</td>
<td>0.0412</td>
<td>0.2721</td>
<td>0.0372</td>
<td>0.3750</td>
<td>0.0729</td>
<td>-0.2637</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: ML estimates derived via the EM algorithm for the crime data, when covariates were considered for the parameters $\theta_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. 
Results - Bayesian estimation

\[ \begin{align*}
\theta_{12} & = 0.8845 & \theta_{13} & = 4.7425 & \theta_{14} & = 1.5177 & \theta_{23} & = 0.0595 & \theta_{24} & = 0.0543 & \theta_{34} & = 0.1505 \\
\text{SE} & = 0.80573 & \text{SE} & = 2.02699 & \text{SE} & = 1.16568 & \text{SE} & = 0.17804 & \text{SE} & = 0.15937 & \text{SE} & = 0.39825
\end{align*} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression parameters</th>
<th>rapes</th>
<th>arsons</th>
<th>manslaughter</th>
<th>smuggling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \beta_1 )</td>
<td>S.E</td>
<td>( \beta_2 )</td>
<td>S.E</td>
<td>( \beta_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>1.1975</td>
<td>0.86960</td>
<td>2.5197</td>
<td>0.75372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop</td>
<td>-1.4777</td>
<td>3.00626</td>
<td>-3.6553</td>
<td>2.52460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>0.0482</td>
<td>0.02236</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>0.02236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unem</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>0.34699</td>
<td>-0.0468</td>
<td>0.31289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>borders</td>
<td>0.0885</td>
<td>0.08185</td>
<td>0.0372</td>
<td>0.07348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>city</td>
<td>-0.3948</td>
<td>0.57079</td>
<td>0.2083</td>
<td>0.44215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Posterior summaries for the parameters of the model with covariates
Kernel Density Estimates for Regression parameters

beta1

beta2

beta3
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Mixtures of multivariate Poisson distribution

Several different ways to define such mixtures:

- Assume

\[(X_1, \ldots, X_m) \sim m - \text{Poisson}(\alpha \theta)\]
\[\alpha \sim G(\alpha)\]

- Assume

\[(X_1, \ldots, X_m) \sim m - \text{Poisson}(\theta)\]
\[\theta \sim G(\theta)\]

- Part of the vector \(\theta\) varies, while some of the parameters remain constant. For example (in 2 dimensions)

\[(X_1, X_2) \sim \text{Biv.Poisson}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_0)\]
\[\theta_1, \theta_2 \sim G(\theta_1, \theta_2)\]
Dependence Structure

Consider the case

\[(X_1, \ldots, X_m \mid \theta) \sim m - \text{Poisson}(\theta)\]
\[\theta \sim G(\theta)\]

The unconditional covariance matrix is given by

\[\text{Var}(X) = ADA^T\]

where \(A\) is the matrix used to construct the conditional variates from the original independent Poisson ones and
\[ D = \begin{bmatrix}
V \text{ar}(\theta_1) + E(\theta_1) & \text{Cov}(\theta_1, \theta_2) & \ldots & \text{Cov}(\theta_1, \theta_m) \\
\text{Cov}(\theta_1, \theta_2) & V \text{ar}(\theta_2) + E(\theta_2) & \ldots & \text{Cov}(\theta_2, \theta_m) \\
\text{Cov}(\theta_1, \theta_m) & \ldots & & \text{V ar}(\theta_m) + E(\theta_m)
\end{bmatrix} \]
Example

$$(X_1, X_2) \sim Biv.Poisson(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$$
$$\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \sim G(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$$

So,

$$Cov(X_1, X_2) = Var(\theta_3) + E(\theta_3) + Cov(\theta_1, \theta_2) + Cov(\theta_1, \theta_3) + Cov(\theta_2, \theta_3)$$

so, if initially the variables are uncorrelated, i.e. $\theta_3 = 0$ we have that

$$Cov(X_1, X_2) = Cov(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$
Important findings

**Remark 1:** The above formula imply that if the mixing distribution allows for any kind of covariance between the $\theta$’s then the resulting unconditional variables are correlated. Even in the case that one starts with independent Poisson variables the mixing operation can lead to correlated variables.

**Remark 2:** More importantly, if the covariance between the pairs $(\theta_i, \theta_j)$ is negative the unconditional variables may exhibit negative correlation. It is well known that the multivariate Poisson distribution cannot have negative correlations, this is not true for its mixtures.

**Remark 3:** The covariance matrix of the unconditional random variables are simple expressions of the covariances of the mixing parameters and hence the moments of the mixing distribution. Having fitted a multivariate Poisson mixture model, one is able to estimate consistently the reproduced covariance structure of the data. This may serve as a goodness of fit index.
Finite Mixtures of multivariate Poisson distribution

If we assume that $\theta$ can take only a finite number of different values finite multivariate Poisson mixture arise. The pf is given as

$$P(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} p_j P(X \mid \theta_j)$$

where $P(X \mid \theta_j)$ denotes the pf of a multivariate Poisson distribution.

this model can be used for clustering multivariate count data Examples:

- Cluster customers of a shop according to their purchases in a series of different products
- Cluster areas according to the number of occurrences of different types of a disease etc
Interesting things

• Standard model-based clustering procedures can be applied. for example, estimation is feasible via EM algorithm, selection of the number of components can be used in a variety of criteria etc

• Since, mixing operation imposes structure is not a good idea to start with a model with a lot of covariance terms.

• Since we work with counts one may use the frequency table instead of the original observations. This speeds up the process and the computing time is not increased so much even if the sample size increases dramatically
Summary

- Multivariate Poisson model similar in nature to multivariate normal were considered.

- The model can be generalized to have quite large (but unnecessary) structure.

- Using up to 2-way covariance term suffice to describe most data sets.

- Estimation can be accomplished via EM algorithms (or MCMC schemes from the Bayesian perspective).

- Multivariate Poisson regression models as well as multivariate clustering can be applied through these models.
Open problem - Future and Ongoing research

- Need to speed up estimation, including quick calculation of the probabilities and improving the EM algorithm.

- Model selection procedure must be obtained that are suitable for the kind of data (e.g. selection of appropriate covariance terms)

- Finite mixtures of multivariate Poisson regressions

- Bayesian estimation for the finite mixture of multivariate Poisson model including selection for the number of components.