

CHARACTERIZATION OF DISCRETE DISTRIBUTIONS

BASED ON CONDITIONALITY AND DAMAGE MODELS

Contribution to the Theory of Discrete
Univariate and Multivariate Distributions,
with Particular Emphasis on Conditionality
Characterizations Using Methods Related
to the Rao-Rubin Property.

JOHN PANARETOS, Dip.Maths., M.Sc.

A Thesis Submitted to the Postgraduate School of Mathematics,
University of Bradford for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY.

1977.

To Kiki

PREFACE

Let X and Y be two non-negative, integer-valued random variables, such that $X \geq Y$, and let $Z = X - Y$. When the conditional distribution of $Y|X=n$ is used for making inferences about the distribution of X or the distribution of Y , this model is called a conditionality model.

Rao (Classical and Contagious discrete distributions 1963), introduced a new version of the conditionality model; he called this a damage model. In this model X represents an observation which is produced by some natural process and which may be partially damaged; $Y|X=n$ is the destructive process. Thus Y stands for what we actually observe of X (the remaining part of X).

Rao and Rubin (Sankhyā 1964) obtained a characterization for the Poisson distribution using damage model theory and a condition which has come to be known as the Rao-Rubin condition.

In this thesis an extension of the Rao-Rubin characterization which has been suggested by the work of Shanbhag (1976) has been used to characterize many well-known discrete distributions as the distribution of X or as the distribution of $Y|X=n$ when the other one of the two is given. This model is extended to provide characterizations for truncated distributions.

A new model is suggested enabling us to characterize finite discrete distributions, truncated and untruncated.

Bivariate and Multivariate extensions of all the results obtained in the Univariate case are derived.

Finally, the damage model is examined in the more general situation where either the distribution of X or the distribution of $Y|X=n$ is a

(ii)

compound distribution. Some interesting characterizations are provided by this situation.

Many of the results existing in the literature in this field are found to be special cases of our results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr A.W. Kemp for all her efforts, advice and assistance during the preparation of this thesis. I am also grateful to Professor C.D. Kemp for his continued encouragement and willingness to contribute to the accomplishment of my goal.

I would like to thank Dr D.N. Shanbhag for the motivation he has provided me through numerous constructive and stimulating discussions on the subject.

I am extremely thankful to Miss Kiki Xekalaki for her invaluable comments and suggestions concerning many aspects of my research.

I am deeply indebted to my parents and my sister for their constant support and encouragement throughout my studies. My sister, Miss F. Panaretos, deserves special thanks for checking the final corrections of the typescript.

Finally, I am particularly appreciative of the intelligent effort put in by Mrs Lesley Barratt in typing the manuscript patiently and skillfully.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>CHAPTER 1.</u>	1
NOTATION, TERMINOLOGY AND REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	
1.0 General Introduction.	1
1.1 Notation and Terminology.	2
1.2 Probability Distributions.	7
1.2.1 Univariate Probability Distributions.	7
1.2.2 Truncated Univariate Distributions.	9
1.2.3 Bivariate Distributions.	12
1.2.4 Multivariate Distributions.	13
1.3 Literature Review.	16
1.3.1 The Damage Model and its Applications.	16
1.3.2 The R-R Characterization and its Variants.	18
1.3.3 Conditionality Characterizations.	22
 <u>CHAPTER 2.</u>	 26
THE RAO-RUBIN CHARACTERIZATION.	
2.0 Introduction.	26
2.1 The Rao-Rubin Theorem. An Elementary Proof.	26
2.2 The Rao-Rubin Theorem. The Truncated Case.	31
2.3 Characterization of the Survival Distribution.	33
2.4 The Bivariate Extension.	36
2.5 The Truncated Bivariate Extension.	37
2.6 The Multivariate Extension.	38

<u>CHAPTER 3.</u>	40
SHANBHAG'S EXTENSION OF THE R-R CHARACTERIZATION.	
THE UNIVARIATE CASE.	
3.0 Introduction.	40
3.1 Shanbhag's Extension.	40
3.2 Shanbhag's Extension in Relation to a Theorem by Patil and Seshadri.	43
3.3 Some Characterizations Based on the Extension.	44
3.4 An Interesting Limiting Case.	49
3.5 The Truncated Case of the Extension.	51
3.6 A Remark on Shanbhag's Extension.	54
 <u>CHAPTER 4.</u>	 66
SHANBHAG'S EXTENSION OF THE R-R CHARACTERIZATION.	
THE BIVARIATE AND MULTIVARIATE CASES.	
4.0 Introduction.	66
4.1 Shanbhag's Extension. The Bivariate Case.	66
4.2 Characterizations of Bivariate Distributions Based on the Extension.	69
4.3 The Truncated Bivariate Extension.	73
4.4 A Remark on the Truncated Bivariate Extension.	75
4.5 The Multivariate Extension.	79
4.6 The Multivariate Truncated Extension and its Variants.	94

<u>CHAPTER 5.</u>	96
CHARACTERIZATIONS OF FINITE DISCRETE DISTRIBUTIONS.	
5.0 Introduction.	96
5.1 The Univariate Case.	96
5.2 Characterizations of Some Univariate Distributions	
Based on Theorem 5.1.1.	101
5.3 The Extension of Theorem 5.1.1 to the Truncated Case.	105
5.4 Characterizations when the Distribution of $Y X$	
is Truncated.	107
 <u>CHAPTER 6.</u>	 111
CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BIVARIATE AND MULTIVARIATE FINITE	
DISTRIBUTIONS.	
6.0 Introduction.	111
6.1 The Bivariate Extension of Theorem 5.1.1.	111
6.2 Characterizations of Some Known Bivariate Distributions.	123
6.3 The Extension of Theorem 6.1.1 to the Truncated Case.	128
6.4 The Multivariate Extension.	132
6.5 Characterizations of Some Multivariate Distributions.	137
6.6 The Extension of Theorem 6.4.1 to the Truncated Case.	144
 <u>CHAPTER 7.</u>	 147
THE EFFECT OF MIXING TO THE DAMAGE MODEL.	
7.0 Introduction.	147
7.1 Damage Model with Original Distribution, Poisson and	
Survival Distribution Mixed Binomial.	147

7.1.1	$(Y X) \sim \text{Binomial} \wedge \text{Beta}$ (Negative Hypergeometric).	150
7.1.2	$(Y X) \sim \text{Binomial} \wedge \text{Right Truncated Beta}$.	150
7.1.3	$(Y X) \sim \text{Binomial} \wedge \text{Right Truncated Exponential}$.	154
7.1.4	$(Y X) \sim \text{Binomial} \wedge \text{Right Truncated Gamma}$.	155
7.1.5	An Interesting Relation Between $G_Y(t)$ and $G_{Y X=Y}(t)$ in the Case where $(Y X=Y) \sim \text{Binomial} \wedge \text{Right Truncated Gamma}$.	156
7.1.6	Some Examples in the Case where the Distribution of $Y X$ is Binomial Mixed with a Discrete Distribution.	157
7.2	General Relations Between $G_Y(t)$ and $G_{Y X=Y}(t)$ when X is Poisson and $Y X$ is Mixed Binomial.	159
7.3	Damage Model with Original Distribution Mixed Poisson and Survival Distribution Binomial.	160
7.3.1	$X \sim \text{Poisson} \wedge \text{Beta}$.	161
7.3.2	$X \sim \text{Poisson} \wedge \text{Right Truncated Beta}$.	162
7.3.3	$X \sim \text{Poisson} \wedge \text{Exponential Truncated to the Right}$.	162
7.3.4	$X \sim \text{Poisson} \wedge \text{Gamma Truncated at 1}$.	163
7.3.5	$X \sim \text{Geometric}$ (Poisson \wedge Exponential).	163
7.3.6	$X \sim \text{Negative Binomial}$ (Poisson \wedge Gamma).	164
7.3.7	An Example with a Discrete Mixing Distribution.	166
7.4	A General Relation Between $G_Y^*(t)$ and $G_{Y X=Y}^*(t)$ when X is Mixed Poisson and $Y X$ is Binomial.	167

<u>CHAPTER 8.</u>	169
SOME INTERESTING PROPERTIES AND CHARACTERIZATIONS BASED ON THE DAMAGE MODEL.	
8.0 Introduction.	169
8.1 The Effect of the Convolution.	169
8.2 Some Characterizations of the Distribution of X when the Distribution of $Y X$ is Given.	176
8.3 Characterization of the Distribution of $Y X$.	181
8.4 Another Extension of the R-R Characterization.	185
 <u>CHAPTER 9.</u>	 189
CONCLUSIONS.	
9.1 Discussion.	189
9.2 Statistical Importance of the Results	202
9.2.1 Introduction	202
9.2.2 The R-R Condition in Relation to the Poisson model.	202
9.2.3 On the Utility of the Characterizations Concerning the Poisson Distribution .	210
9.2.4 Shanbhag's Extension of the R-R Characterisation	212
9.2.5 The R-R Condition in Relation to Truncated Distributions.	216
9.2.6 Finite Distributions and the Damage Model.	219
9.2.7 Bivariate and Multivariate Distributions.	221
9.2.8 The Damage Model with a Mixed Poisson as the Original Distribution.	225
9.2.9 The Damage Model with a Mixed Binomial as the Survival Distribution.	227
9.2.10 Concluding Remarks.	229

9.3 Scope for further Research.	230
APPENDIX: Summarizing Table.	232
REFERENCES.	241