# Survival Modelling of Goal Arrival Times in Champions League

Mathsport 2019

Ilias Leriou, Ioannis Ntzoufras, Dimitris Karlis

Athens University of Economics and Business

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Champions League 2017-2018 data layout

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Champions League 2017-2018 data layout
- 3. Initial Model

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Champions League 2017-2018 data layout
- 3. Initial Model
- 4. Final Model

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Champions League 2017-2018 data layout
- 3. Initial Model
- 4. Final Model
- 5. Issues and Further work

The research work was supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI) and the General Secretariat for Research and Technology (GSRT), under the HFRI PhD Fellowship grant (1809). • Modelling the number of events (goals) has been thoroughly addressed.

- Modelling the number of events (goals) has been thoroughly addressed.
- Little research on modelling the goal arrival times

- Modelling the number of events (goals) has been thoroughly addressed.
- Little research on modelling the goal arrival times
  - Thomas (2007)

Analysis of inter-arrival times of goals in ice hockey using Weibull and Plateau-Hazard distributions.

- Modelling the number of events (goals) has been thoroughly addressed.
- Little research on modelling the goal arrival times
  - Thomas (2007)

Analysis of inter-arrival times of goals in ice hockey using Weibull and Plateau-Hazard distributions.

• Nevo and Ritov (2013)

Cox model for 1st & 2nd goal (760 Premier League games).

- Modelling the number of events (goals) has been thoroughly addressed.
- Little research on modelling the goal arrival times
  - Thomas (2007)

Analysis of inter-arrival times of goals in ice hockey using Weibull and Plateau-Hazard distributions.

• Nevo and Ritov (2013)

Cox model for 1st & 2nd goal (760 Premier League games).

## AIM

- Modelling the number of events (goals) has been thoroughly addressed.
- Little research on modelling the goal arrival times
  - Thomas (2007)

Analysis of inter-arrival times of goals in ice hockey using Weibull and Plateau-Hazard distributions.

• Nevo and Ritov (2013)

Cox model for 1st & 2nd goal (760 Premier League games).

#### AIM

Since we are considering two arrival times, investigate the possible modeling of those goal arrival times using Bivariate distributions under a survival analysis framework.



Let  $t_{1im}$  and  $t_{2im}$  be the event times for team 1 and team 2 respectively with 1 = 1, 2, ..., n and m = 1, 2, ..., M the game indicator. To be more precise, part of the data layout in our case is presented as follows:

Game	t <sub>1</sub>	t <sub>2</sub>	Home Team	Away Team
1	50	NA	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	13	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	8	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	NA	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva

 Table 1: Data layout for survival modelling of Champions' League Data which consisted of 528 times (events and censored), 32 teams and 125 games

Let  $t_{1im}$  and  $t_{2im}$  be the event times for team 1 and team 2 respectively with 1 = 1, 2, ..., n and m = 1, 2, ..., M the game indicator. To be more precise, part of the data layout in our case is presented as follows:

Game	t <sub>1</sub>	t <sub>2</sub>	Home Team	Away Team
1	50	NA	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	13	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	8	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	NA	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva

 Table 1: Data layout for survival modelling of Champions' League Data which consisted of 528 times (events and censored), 32 teams and 125 games

#### Properties of the data

- Teams are competing with one another.

Let  $t_{1im}$  and  $t_{2im}$  be the event times for team 1 and team 2 respectively with 1 = 1, 2, ..., n and m = 1, 2, ..., M the game indicator. To be more precise, part of the data layout in our case is presented as follows:

Game	t <sub>1</sub>	t <sub>2</sub>	Home Team	Away Team
1	50	NA	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	13	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	8	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	NA	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva

 Table 1: Data layout for survival modelling of Champions' League Data which consisted of 528 times (events and censored), 32 teams and 125 games

#### Properties of the data

- Teams are competing with one another.
- After a team scores, time resets to zero.

Let  $t_{1im}$  and  $t_{2im}$  be the event times for team 1 and team 2 respectively with 1 = 1, 2, ..., n and m = 1, 2, ..., M the game indicator. To be more precise, part of the data layout in our case is presented as follows:

Game	t <sub>1</sub>	t <sub>2</sub>	Home Team	Away Team
1	50	NA	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	13	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	8	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	NA	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva

 Table 1: Data layout for survival modelling of Champions' League Data which consisted of 528 times (events and censored), 32 teams and 125 games

#### Properties of the data

- Teams are competing with one another.
- After a team scores, time resets to zero.
- NA-NA means that we are unable to observe at what time would a team have scored from the time that the last team scored until the end of the game

Let  $t_{1im}$  and  $t_{2im}$  be the event times for team 1 and team 2 respectively with 1 = 1, 2, ..., n and m = 1, 2, ..., M the game indicator. To be more precise, part of the data layout in our case is presented as follows:

Game	t <sub>1</sub>	t <sub>2</sub>	Home Team	Away Team
1	50	NA	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	13	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	8	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva
1	NA	NA	Benfica	PFC CSKA Moskva

 Table 1: Data layout for survival modelling of Champions' League Data which consisted of 528 times (events and censored), 32 teams and 125 games

#### Properties of the data

- Teams are competing with one another.
- After a team scores, time resets to zero.
- NA-NA means that we are unable to observe at what time would a team have scored from the time that the last team scored until the end of the game

#### Marshall Olkin Bivariate Weibull Distribution

Let  $U_0$ ,  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be independent Weibull random variables with the same shape parameter  $\gamma$  and scale parameters  $\lambda_0$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  respectively. Define

$$T_1 = U_0 \wedge U_1 \quad T_2 = U_0 \wedge U_2.$$

Then

$$(T_1, T_2) \sim MOBW(\gamma, \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

#### Marshall Olkin Bivariate Weibull Distribution

Let  $U_0$ ,  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be independent Weibull random variables with the same shape parameter  $\gamma$  and scale parameters  $\lambda_0$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  respectively. Define

$$T_1 = U_0 \wedge U_1 \quad T_2 = U_0 \wedge U_2.$$

Then

$$(T_1, T_2) \sim MOBW(\gamma, \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

The Joint Probability Density Function of the Marshall Olkin Bivariate Weibull distribution is given by

$$f_{T_1, T_2}(t_1, t_2) = \begin{cases} f_W(t_1; \gamma, \lambda_1) f_W(t_2; \gamma, \lambda_0 + \lambda_2) & \text{if } 0 < t_1 < t_2 \\ f_W(t_1, \gamma, \lambda_0 + \lambda_1) f_W(t_2, \gamma, \lambda_2) & \text{if } 0 < t_2 < t_1 \\ \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2} f_W(t; \gamma, \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2) & \text{if } 0 < t_1 = t_2 = t \end{cases}$$

where

$$f_W(x;\gamma,\lambda) = \gamma \lambda x^{\gamma-1} e^{-\lambda x^{\gamma}}$$

#### Marshall Olkin Bivariate Weibull Distribution

Let  $U_0$ ,  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be independent Weibull random variables with the same shape parameter  $\gamma$  and scale parameters  $\lambda_0$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  respectively. Define

$$T_1 = U_0 \wedge U_1 \quad T_2 = U_0 \wedge U_2.$$

Then

$$(T_1, T_2) \sim MOBW(\gamma, \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

The Joint Probability Density Function of the Marshall Olkin Bivariate Weibull distribution is given by

$$f_{T_1, T_2}(t_1, t_2) = \begin{cases} f_W(t_1; \gamma, \lambda_1) f_W(t_2; \gamma, \lambda_0 + \lambda_2) & \text{if } 0 < t_1 < t_2 \\ f_W(t_1, \gamma, \lambda_0 + \lambda_1) f_W(t_2, \gamma, \lambda_2) & \text{if } 0 < t_2 < t_1 \\ \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2} f_W(t; \gamma, \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2) & \text{if } 0 < t_1 = t_2 = t \end{cases}$$

where

$$f_W(x;\gamma,\lambda) = \gamma \lambda x^{\gamma-1} e^{-\lambda x^{\gamma}}$$

The Joint Survivor Function is given by

$$S_{T_1,T_2}(t_1,t_2) = S_W(t_1;\gamma,\lambda_1)S_W(t_2;\gamma,\lambda_2)S_W(t_1 \lor t_2 \gamma,\lambda_0), \quad \forall \lambda_0,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\gamma,t_1,t_2 > 0$$

#### Marshall Olkin Bivariate Weibull Distribution

#### Theoretical problems:

• Not straightforward representation of the BWMO distribution using latent variables unlike the bivariate Poisson when modelling the number of goals.

#### Marshall Olkin Bivariate Weibull Distribution

#### Theoretical problems:

- Not straightforward representation of the BWMO distribution using latent variables unlike the bivariate Poisson when modelling the number of goals.
- Unclear how  $\lambda_0$  affects the correlation between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .

#### Marshall Olkin Bivariate Weibull Distribution

#### Theoretical problems:

- Not straightforward representation of the BWMO distribution using latent variables unlike the bivariate Poisson when modelling the number of goals.
- Unclear how  $\lambda_0$  affects the correlation between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .
- λ<sub>0</sub> is always positive and therefore the dependence between the goal arrival times is always positive (not realistic).

#### Marshall Olkin Bivariate Weibull Distribution

#### Theoretical problems:

- Not straightforward representation of the BWMO distribution using latent variables unlike the bivariate Poisson when modelling the number of goals.
- Unclear how  $\lambda_0$  affects the correlation between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .
- λ<sub>0</sub> is always positive and therefore the dependence between the goal arrival times is always positive (not realistic).

#### Computational Problem:

• The BWMO distribution is not available in the well establised Bayesian platforms (like BUGS or STAN).

#### Marshall Olkin Bivariate Weibull Distribution

#### Theoretical problems:

- Not straightforward representation of the BWMO distribution using latent variables unlike the bivariate Poisson when modelling the number of goals.
- Unclear how  $\lambda_0$  affects the correlation between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .
- λ<sub>0</sub> is always positive and therefore the dependence between the goal arrival times is always positive (not realistic).

#### Computational Problem:

• The BWMO distribution is not available in the well establised Bayesian platforms (like BUGS or STAN).

To avoid these problems we assumed that the two arrival scoring times are coming from independent Weibull Distributions truncated at the censoring times of each team.

#### Independent Weibull Model: Formulation

Let  $t_{i1}$  and  $t_{i2}$  be the goal arrival times (in the sense that was presented above) by home (HT) and away teams (AT) i = 1, 2, ..., n. Then the "independent Weibull" model can be expressed by

 $T_{ij} \sim Weibull(\gamma, \lambda_{ij}), \quad j = 1, 2, i = 1, 2, ..., n$ 

#### Independent Weibull Model: Formulation

Let  $t_{i1}$  and  $t_{i2}$  be the goal arrival times (in the sense that was presented above) by home (HT) and away teams (AT) i = 1, 2, ..., n. Then the "independent Weibull" model can be expressed by

$$T_{ij} \sim Weibull(\gamma, \lambda_{ij}), \quad j = 1, 2, i = 1, 2, ..., n$$

with

$$log(E(T_{i1})) = \mu + home + a_{HT_i} + d_{AT_i} + ge_{GDescr_i} + re_{GDescr_i}$$
$$+ \beta_1 gd1_i + \beta_2 (hf_i - 1) + \beta_3 gd2_i + \beta_4 rt_i + \beta_5 gs_i$$

$$log\left(E(T_{i2})\right) = \mu + a_{AT_i} + d_{HT_i} + ge_{GDescr_i} + re_{GDescr_i}$$
$$-\beta_1 gd1_i + \beta_2 (hf_i - 1) - \beta_3 gd2_i + \beta_4 rt_i + \beta_5 gs_i$$

with

$$E(T_{ij}) = \lambda_{ij}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma})$$

#### Independent Weibull Model: Covariates

#### **Offline Covariates**

- Team Effects.
- Game Effect.
- Round Effect.

#### Independent Weibull Model: Covariates

#### **Offline Covariates**

- Team Effects.
- Game Effect.
- Round Effect.

## **Online Covariates**

- Indicator for one goal difference.
- Different effect for goal difference that is higher than 2.
- Half Time indicator.
- Remaining Time.
- Goal Scored by each even time.

#### Independent Weibull Model: Covariates

#### **Offline Covariates**

- Team Effects.
- Game Effect.
- Round Effect.

## **Online Covariates**

- Indicator for one goal difference.
- Different effect for goal difference that is higher than 2.
- Half Time indicator.
- Remaining Time.
- Goal Scored by each even time.

#### **Other Parameters**

- Home Effect.
- Intercept.

#### Independent Weibull Model: Prior Distributions

The prior distributions that were assigned to the parameters of our model, are weakly informative and are presented as follows:

 $a_k, d_k \sim Normal(0, 10^{-3})$ 

 $\mu$ , home, ge<sub>G</sub>descr<sub>i</sub>, gs<sub>G</sub>descr<sub>i</sub> ~ Normal(0, 10<sup>-3</sup>)

The coefficients in our model are also assumed to have a weakly informative prior namely:

 $\beta_i \sim Normal(0, 10^{-3})$ 

Finally, since the shape parameter  $\gamma$  is a positive parameter, a Gamma distribution as follows

 $\gamma \sim Gamma(10^{-3}, 10^{-3})$ 

In order to make the model identifiable and make comparisons of the ability of each team with an overall level of attacking and defensive abilities we imposed Sum-To-Zero constrains on those parameters. In particular we assumed the following

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_k = 0$$

Independent Weibull Model: Bayesian Estimation and Model Fitting.

• Use MultiBUGS to fit out model and sample from the required posterior distributions using MCMC.

- Use MultiBUGS to fit out model and sample from the required posterior distributions using MCMC.
- Conduct Gibbs Variable Selection (Dellaportas et al., 2002) to select a final model.

- Use MultiBUGS to fit out model and sample from the required posterior distributions using MCMC.
- Conduct Gibbs Variable Selection (Dellaportas et al., 2002) to select a final model.
- For illustration, make comparisons with the null model.

- Use MultiBUGS to fit out model and sample from the required posterior distributions using MCMC.
- Conduct Gibbs Variable Selection (Dellaportas et al., 2002) to select a final model.
- For illustration, make comparisons with the null model.
- Make the required interpretations.

#### Independent Weibull Model: Results

Parameter	Mean	SD	2.5%	97.5%
home	-0.197	0.071	-0.345	-0.050
$\mu$	1.045	0.451	0.370	2.301
$\gamma$	1.357	0.057	1.247	1.468
hf	-0.533	0.112	-0.762	-0.314
gd2	-0.110	0.038	-0.179	-0.041
rt	-0.031	0.002	-0.035	-0.026

Model	DIC	Covariates	
Null Model	4069.7	None	
GVS Model	3826.7	hf + gd2 + rt	

#### Independent Weibull Model: Attacking and Defensive abilities' estimates



Figure 1: Credible intervals for attacking ability for best and worst teams.

#### Independent Weibull Model: Attacking and Defensive abilities' estimates



Figure 2: Credible intervals for defensive ability for best and worst teams.

Survival Curve for the final game.

#### Score: Real Madrid 3 - 1 Liverpool



Figure 3: Survival Curves for the Champions League's final game. The vertical green lines represent the goal times.

• To reset or not to reset?

- To reset or not to reset?
- Use only the gap times and an indicator for H/A team (logistic approach).

- To reset or not to reset?
- Use only the gap times and an indicator for H/A team (logistic approach).
- Model the goal arrival times as Recurrent Events for the same subject (game).

- To reset or not to reset?
- Use only the gap times and an indicator for H/A team (logistic approach).
- Model the goal arrival times as Recurrent Events for the same subject (game).
- Investigate the use of alternative bivariate distributions for modelling allowing for positive and negative dependence between the goal arrival times.

- To reset or not to reset?
- Use only the gap times and an indicator for H/A team (logistic approach).
- Model the goal arrival times as Recurrent Events for the same subject (game).
- Investigate the use of alternative bivariate distributions for modelling allowing for positive and negative dependence between the goal arrival times.
- Use Copulas to model the dependence.

- To reset or not to reset?
- Use only the gap times and an indicator for H/A team (logistic approach).
- Model the goal arrival times as Recurrent Events for the same subject (game).
- Investigate the use of alternative bivariate distributions for modelling allowing for positive and negative dependence between the goal arrival times.
- Use Copulas to model the dependence.
- Goodness of Fit.

- To reset or not to reset?
- Use only the gap times and an indicator for H/A team (logistic approach).
- Model the goal arrival times as Recurrent Events for the same subject (game).
- Investigate the use of alternative bivariate distributions for modelling allowing for positive and negative dependence between the goal arrival times.
- Use Copulas to model the dependence.
- Goodness of Fit.
- Predictions.

- To reset or not to reset?
- Use only the gap times and an indicator for H/A team (logistic approach).
- Model the goal arrival times as Recurrent Events for the same subject (game).
- Investigate the use of alternative bivariate distributions for modelling allowing for positive and negative dependence between the goal arrival times.
- Use Copulas to model the dependence.
- Goodness of Fit.
- Predictions.



# References

- Dellaportas, P., Forster, J. J., and Ntzoufras, I. (2002). On bayesian model and variable selection using mcmc. *Statistics and Computing*, 12(1):27–36.
- Nevo, D. and Ritov, Y. (2013). Around the goal: Examining the effect of the first goal on the second goal in soccer using survival analysis methods. *Journal of Quantitative Analysis in Sports*, 9(2):165–177.
- Thomas, A. C. (2007). Inter-arrival times of goals in ice hockey. *Journal of Quantitative Analysis in Sports*, 3(3).